

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,764	,764 02/27/2001		William Joseph Reid		1375	
27599	7590	12/05/2005		EXAMINER		
NETP&L, IN	1C.		PATEL, JAGDISH			
1385 SAGEB	ROOK D	RIVE				
FAIRVIEW,	TX 750	59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				3624		

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office A - 1' O	09/752,764	REID, WILLIAM JOSEPH						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	JAGDISH PATEL	3624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	entember 2005							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		osecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1.2 and 4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· _ · · · _ ·	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oloodon roquiloniona.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		* *						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• • •						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicat ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/3/01</u> .	6) [_] Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/752,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1,2 and 4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/26/05.
- 2. Claim 3 is elected for examination.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The preamble of claim refers to the claim as a method claim. However, the body of claim refers to "means of" and therefore directed to an apparatus or a system claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 3 is also objected because the elements are not separated by a semicolon (;).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Exemplary claim 3 recites a method (interpreted by the examiner to read "an apparatus") having a plurality of elements, each in the form of computer executable codes (means of analyzing.. and means of determining..) which are capable of interacting with each other. However, the system is rendered non-functional and inoperative because there is nothing in the claimed system to impart functionality of these computer codes. Note that functionality of the computer executable codes is realized only, when they are acted upon by a processor or a computing device.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,764 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Note that this analysis is based upon the examiner's interpretation that the claimed invention is an apparatus claim and that the means are computer executable codes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites means of analyzing ..and expressing risk in common currency as element a) and means of determining the flow of a company's ..transactions and ranking them (i.e. the transactions) by risk expressed in common currency as element b).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements a) and b), such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. In the present form no connection exists between element a) and element b).

This deficiency is also reflected in terms of lack of any relationship between the analysis of a company transactions and their corresponding effect on a company's transactions and the expression of that risk in common currency in element a) and lack of any relationship between the former with the flow of a company's computer-based transactions and ranking them (i.e. the computer-based transactions).

In summary, the claim refers to two disjoint elements, without any inter-relation amongst them and therefore is rendered unclear and indefinite.

Claim 3 recites "expressing that risk in common currency" in element a), however, no antecedent basis provided for this limitation in the claim. The claim fails to recite determination of risk associated the transactions which forms the basis for the expressing process.

The following prior art analysis is based upon the examiner's interpretation of the claim considering the aforementioned deficiencies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Scheirer (US PGPub 2001/0056398) (Hereafter Scheirer).

As per claim 3 Scheirer teaches an apparatus for risk management that expresses risk to company assets in common currency comprising;

a) means of analyzing a company's transactions and their corresponding effect on company's assets (see Fig 2, blocks 40, 36, see Fig. 21, para [0127] through [0129]) b) means of determining flow of a company's ..transactions and ranking them by risk expressed in common currency (see Fig 2, blocks 40, 36, see Fig. 21, para [0127] through [0129])

Page 5

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

11/29/05